

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,035	08/02/2005	Daisuke Wakabayashi	JG-SU-5207PCT/US 500577.2	6571	
26418	7590 06/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
REED SMI	•	(T) (T) (T)	HITESHEW, FELISA CARLA		
	ENT RECORDS DEPAR GTON AVENUE, 29TH F		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	K, NY 10022-7650		1722		

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/521,035	WAKABAYASHI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Felisa C. Hiteshew	1722	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the control of t	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		rits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to	relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
12) [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Application/Control Number: 10/521,035

Art Unit: 1722

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not in the form of one paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-3, line 5, respectively, the terminology "...predetermined time..." is being considered vague and indefinite.

In claim 4, line 6, the terminology "...predetermined time..." is being considered vague and indefinite.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

A claim is indefinite where it specifies "predetermined" temperatures, etc., when "predetermined" according to applicant's (or applicants') definition, merely means determined before hand. See Seagrams & Sons Inc., vs Mattell, 84 U.S.P.Q. 180. Therefore, the claim(s) is\are unpatentable under 35 U.S.P.Q. 112 second paragraph.

The use of "predetermined" reads on a nebulous mental step conducted prior to the manipulative steps of the claimed invention, hence rendering the present process claims unclear in meaning and scope. If applicant wishes to patent detailed controls over the recited process, they must be positively recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fueroff, et al.

Fueroff, et al teaches a Czocharlski method for controlling the diameter of a monocrystalline ingot and adjusting the power for maintaining adequate control of crystal diameter in a locked seed lift process. The method and apparatus adjusts the crystal diameter by changing the melt temperature along with adjusting the crystal diameter by changing the melt temperature. Fueroff, et al also provides a relatively fast melt temperature change that permits modeling the temperature response of the melt

(see column 2, lines 48-68). Fueroff, et al teaches the Czochralski method for pulling an ingot form the melt at a target rate that substantially follows a predetermined velocity profile. The method also includes the step of defining a temperature model representative of variations in the temperature of the melt in response to variations in power supplied to a heater for heating the melt. In generating a temperature set point representing a target melt temperature, the method next includes the steps of generating a signal representative of an error between a target diameter and a measured diameter of the ingot, performing proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control on the error signal and generating the temperature set point as a function thereof. The method further includes determining a power set point for the power supplied to the heater from the temperature model as a function of the temperature set point generated by the PID control and adjusting the power supplied to the heater according to the power set point (see column 3, lines 4-21).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTOL-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felisa Hiteshew whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1722

(571) 272-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM with Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-1463.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system. see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866- 217-9197 (toll-free).

FELISA HITESHEW PRIMARY EXAMINER